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One of the reasons of the still limited adoption of Cloud Computing in the EU is the EU customers’ perceived lack of security and transparency in this technology. Cloud service providers (CSPs) usually rely on security certifications as a mean to improve transparency and trustworthiness, however European CSPs still face multiple challenges for certifying their services (e.g., fragmentation in the certification market, and lack of mutual recognition). In this context, the EU Cybersecurity Act (EU CSA) proposes improving customer's trust in the European ICT market through a European certification scheme (EUCS). The proposed cloud security certification scheme conveys new technological challenges including the notion of automated monitoring for the whole supply chain, which need to be solved in order to bring all the expected benefits to EU cloud providers and customers. In this context, MEDINA proposes a framework for supporting a continuous audit-based certification for CSPs based on EU CSA’s scheme for cloud security certification. MEDINA will tackle challenges in areas like security validation/ testing, machine-readable certification language, cloud security performance, and audit evidence management. MEDINA will provide and empirically validate sustainable outcomes in order to benefit EU adopters.
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1. Introduction and motivation

Despite the conspicuous benefits to customer’s trustworthiness in cloud services, which result from leveraging recognized security certifications (just as evidenced by the EU Cybersecurity Act (EU CSA)), it is also true that European cloud providers currently face multiple challenges to certify their services. Take for example the European Commission’s study SMART 2016/0029 “Certification schemes for cloud computing” led by TECNALIA [3], which shows that the market penetration of the cloud security certification is rather uneven. ISO 27001-based certifications are leading the market, despite being a generic IT systems management standard and not focusing solely on cloud services. The above-mentioned study has analysed the market penetration of international certification schemes (e.g., ISO, Cloud Security Alliance, …), Member States’ schemes (e.g., Germany’s BSI C5, Spain’s Esquema Nacional de Seguridad – ENS), private initiatives (e.g., Zeker online, EuroCloud), public-private initiatives (e.g. Trusted Cloud) and cross-border initiatives (e.g., ESCloud) in 50 Cloud Service Providers (CSPs). The conclusions demonstrate a big fragmentation in the domain of existing certification schemes.
In addition to the evident fragmentation in cloud security certification schemes, the EC study also highlighted the diverse focus of the different security controls in current certification schemes. The final challenge that European cloud providers face when seeking a certification is the selection of the conformity assessment method (CAM). Several different CAMs exist at the state of practice such as self-assessment, evidence-based, ISO-based, and ISAE 3402. Each of these CAMs also have different scopes. While ISO mainly assesses if security measures are defined and put in place at a certain point of time, ISAE evaluates the efficiency of the implemented controls in a period of time, usually six months.

The conspicuous lack of cloud-specific security certifications, in addition to the existing market fragmentation (scope, methodologies), hinder transparency and accountability in the provision of European cloud services. Both issues ultimately reflect on the level of customer’s trustworthiness and adoption of cloud services in Europe.

In an effort to solve some of the challenges depicted above, the EU Cybersecurity Act (EU CSA, approved in June 2019) in its Title III gives ENISA the mandate of defining and implementing a European security certification scheme for ICT products, processes and services for three different levels of assurance (low, substantial, and high). Being cloud computing one of the identified EU CSA priorities, Articles 54 (j) and 57 (9) propose the possibility of deploying a high-assurance, evidence-based and continuous certification of European cloud providers. Despite the evident benefits of EU CSA’s principles for the European market and cloud customers, currently there are no concrete cloud certification frameworks nor tools for implementing any of those proposals.

To overcome this situation, the main objective of the MEDINA European research project [13] is to provide a holistic framework that enhances cloud customers’ control and trust in consumed cloud services, by supporting CSPs (IaaS, PaaS and SaaS providers) towards the successful achievement of a continuous certification aligned to the EU Cybersecurity Act (EU CSA). Such certification should fulfill the requirements of the EU cloud security certification scheme in their basic, substantial and high assurance levels. The proposed framework will be comprised of tools, techniques, and processes supporting the continuous auditing and certification of cloud services where security and accountability are measurable by design. As the MEDINA framework is leveraged into a cloud supply chain, it will support continuously assessing the efficiency and efficacy of security measures to ultimately achieve and maintain a certification.

The rest of this paper is structured in the following manner: Section 2 introduces an overview of the related state of the art and the progress that MEDINA expect to provide to each topic. Section 3 details the MEDINA approach for Cloud Security Continuous Certification and section 4 oversees the future work in MEDINA.

2. Related work

In the last years, several projects and initiatives have worked in research areas of interest for continuous certification of security in Cloud Services. In the table 1 is shown an overview of the main challenges identified after the analysis of the current state of the art per area of interest,
considering national and/or international initiatives, and highlighting the main scientific advances that MEDINA will bring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic and related works</th>
<th>Current state-of-the-art (SOTA)</th>
<th>Expected progress beyond the SOTA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cloud security certification schemes and conformity assessments [25], [3] | • Fragmented certification schemes.  
• Partial coverage of relevant cloud security controls.  
• Wide variety of conformity assessment methods. | • MEDINA framework supports the homogenization of certification schemes, by aligning to the EU CSA.  
• Framework fully covers security controls from relevant standards and good practices.  
• MEDINA leverages conformity assessment methodologies proposed to EU CSA |
| Continuous assessment, audit and certification [21], [17], [9], [18], [8] | • Static cloud security configurations, i.e., forced by traditional audits, cannot adapt to a changing threat landscape.  
• Lack of KPIs and techniques for measuring cloud security efficiency/efficacy.  
• Trustworthiness of evidences, and automation are missing in current cloud certification processes.  
• Non-technical measures are not quantifiable and thus currently hard to assess continuously | • Toolset supporting EU CSA’s cloud certification processes, including automation (smart contracts), accountability and trustworthiness.  
• Risk-based MEDINA’s framework supports CSPs in adapting security configuration at run-time/design-time, in a certifiable manner.  
• Contribution of a repository containing TOMs, metrics and security KPIs derived from internationally accepted control frameworks.  
• New techniques to analyse the semantic of documents and process descriptions to address non-technical and organisational controls |
| Policies for certification language [26], [27], [6], [5], [28], [20] | • Lack of standard machine-readable representation for certification purposes.  
• No standards for representing cloud security certifications. | • Machine-readable representation of the main EU CSA components (e.g., certificates, security tests, KPIs).  
• Provision of standardization roadmap for policy language |
| Evidence gathering for continuous certification [12], [19], [16], [1,22], [23], [24], [14] | • Limited scope of existing tools on new computing paradigms such as serverless computing  
• Existing static code analysis techniques are not adopted to the needs of gathering evidences in a certification context  
• Non-technical measures are not quantifiable and thus currently hard to assess continuously  
• Auditors lack real-world experience on continuous certification | • Provision of a broad spectrum of evidence gathering techniques for technical measures, such as security assessment of cloud workloads, containers and serverless functions.  
• Analysis of data flows of cloud applications using code property graphs on incomplete source code.  
• Machine-learning and NLP to analyse the semantic of documents and process to address non-technical or organisational measures  
• Validation of techniques based on real-world audit practices |
| Economic and risk aspects of certification | • Lack of (economic) analysis for evaluating the cost-benefit of security certifications, and related cybersecurity risks. | • MEDINA framework for quantitative risk-assessment for cloud security certification.  
• Contribution of validated cost-
3. MEDINA approach

The MEDINA approach is depicted in Figure 1. It describes the lifecycle of continuous Cloud security certification, from the definition of the security controls and metrics to the continuous auditing of the evidences. Such lifecycle can be summarized as follows:

1. Define a catalogue of metrics associated to technical and organizational measures out of the MEDINA catalogue (e.g., based on EUCS [4]). This repository of metrics (Key result 1- [KR1]) and measures entails a clear definition of the technical and organizational measures (TOMs) relevant for cloud service providers. The repository also includes the corresponding security metrics (both quantitative and qualitative) for security objectives/TOMs such as those related to system security and integrity, operational security, business continuity and incident management.

2. Select controls: Taking into consideration the CSPs risk appetite following a risk-based approach and the chosen assurance level, the CSP shall select the security controls that are most convenient for it to certify. After that, assets of the cloud service and relevant IT threats shall be identified, and additional security controls proposed [KR2]. MEDINA proposes a tool-supported methodology for the selection of additional controls and associated TOMs, which address the concrete needs of a CSP taking into consideration both its risk appetite and requested certification’s assurance level.

3. Specify the certification language: currently certification schemes are expressed using natural language. MEDINA proposes to transform this certification language into a machine-readable expression [KR3], by using NLP, including aspects such as scope of the certification, assurance level and conformity assessment method.

4. Collect and evaluate evidences, continuously and automatically audit: Once the scope of the certification scheme is established, the evidences need to be collected [KR4] at cloud service as well as code level, both at design and at operation time, that is, during the whole lifecycle of the cloud service. The collected evidences need to be continuously evaluated [KR5] and the risks continuously monitored and updated [KR6], in order to have a secure operational service certifiable through the selected conformity assessment method. Furthermore, DLT / blockchain techniques will be proposed for the accountable tracking of evidences.
4. Conclusions and future work

This paper presented the proposed MEDINA approach to support current challenges in the continuous security certification of Cloud Computing services. MEDINA proposes to increase the trustworthiness of the Cloud Services and Cloud Providers through a framework of methods, mechanism and tools supporting continuous cloud security certification, through trustworthy evidence-management methods. The project started in November 2020 and will last 36 months. Currently the reference architecture for the MEDINA framework is being designed, and the first versions of the methods and prototypes will be ready during 2021. These initial versions will be validated by two European Cloud Providers which are part of the MEDINA consortium, Robert Bosch GmbH and Fabasoft.
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